

Kratom: Evidence, Definitions, and Consumer Safety

Educational Briefing for Policy Makers | International Plant and Herbal Alliance | 2026

Key Scientific Findings at a Glance

- 1 Natural-leaf kratom, semisynthetic 7-OH products, and fully synthetic analogues are chemically distinct with different risk profiles. Any policy addressing kratom harms should distinguish between them.
- 2 Natural-leaf kratom does not cause opioid-type respiratory depression, the mechanism behind virtually every opioid overdose death. No fatal kratom-induced respiratory depression has been documented in the scientific literature across an estimated 15 million U.S. users.
- 3 Deaths attributed to kratom overwhelmingly involve multiple other substances. A 2025 systematic review (Smallets et al., *Frontiers in Pharmacology*) found confounding substances in 32 of 35 deaths where kratom was detected. The authors noted the 3 remaining cases had weak or inadequate toxicology testing, meaning incomplete testing rather than confirmed absence of other substances.
- 4 Physical dependence on natural-leaf kratom is real and documented, but addiction is rare. Less than 3% of a Johns Hopkins survey sample of 2,798 regular users met DSM-5 criteria for moderate or severe use disorder.
- 5 Regulation works. 21 States have enacted Kratom Consumer Protection Act (KCPA) legislation. Rhode Island overturned a kratom ban in 2025, replacing it with the strictest regulatory framework in the country.
- 6 The FDA's own 8-factor analysis (2018 and 2021) found that natural-leaf kratom and mitragynine did not meet criteria for scheduling. The DEA withdrew its 2016 notice of intent to place kratom in Schedule I following review.

The Most Important Distinction in Any Kratom Policy Discussion

Some products sold as 'kratom' are not kratom at all -- they are synthetic or semisynthetic compounds falsely marketed under the kratom name. This distinction is central to any regulatory conversation.

Natural Leaf Kratom	Semisynthetic 7-OH Products	Fully Synthetic Products
▪ Dried/powdered leaf; capsules and plain extracts (KCPA-permitted forms) ▪ 7-OH at trace levels (<2% of total alkaloids- KCPA limit) ▪ No opioid-type respiratory depression ▪ Centuries of traditional use in Southeast Asia ▪ KCPA regulates and protects access ▪ FDA 8-factor analysis did not meet scheduling criteria	▪ 7-OH chemically isolated or concentrated up to 98% from natural leaf ▪ Higher dependence risk, more severe withdrawal ▪ Respiratory depression risk in animal studies ▪ Often still labeled as 'kratom' ▪ KCPA prohibits these products ▪ FDA has recommended DEA schedule synthetic 7-OH	▪ Lab-created compounds not found in the plant ▪ Mitragynine pseudoindoxyl, MGM-15, MGM-16 ▪ Functionally equivalent to synthetic opioids ▪ No traditional use history ▪ KCPA prohibits these products ▪ IND pathway is the appropriate regulatory route

Source: McCurdy CR et al., *Expert Review of Clinical Pharmacology*, 2024. PMC12671409, 2025.



Heidi Sykora, DNP | Chief Scientific Officer, Volunteer | drheidisykora@plantsandherbals.org

International Plant and Herbal Alliance | Educational Briefing | www.internationalplantandherbalalliance.org | May 2026

This briefing presents peer-reviewed scientific evidence and verified legislative history for educational purposes. It does not advocate for or against any specific legislation.